

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,919	04/16/2004	Alexander Deiters	54-000250US	1323
22798 7590 03/27/2008 QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C. P O BOX 458			EXAMINER	
			GEBREYESUS, KAGNEW H	
ALAMEDA, CA 94501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/826,919 DEITERS ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit KAGNEW H. GEBREYESUS 1656 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-51.60 and 61 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 52-59 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/8/04, 11/19/07.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse dated February 04, 2008 in response to the supplemental restriction requirement mailed on January 02, 2008 is acknowledged. Applicants have elected Group XIV (claims 52-59) with further election of the species: "a derivative of polyethylene glycol" and the orthogonal tRNA synthetase of SEQ ID NO: 48. Claims 1-51, 60-61 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected groups, there being no allowable or linking claims. Additional species will be examined upon allowance of the first species. The inventions of Group II and III are drawn to products that can be used in an unrelated processes. The elected group XIV is drawn to a method of producing a protein comprising unnatural amino acids wherein said unnatural amino acid can further be modified. Therefore this requirement is made final.

Priority

Priority for this application is acknowledged for benefit of U.S. Provisional Application 60/479931, filed on June 18, 2003, and U.S. Provisional Application 60/493014 filed on August 05, 2003 and U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/496548, filed on August 19, 2003. Claim 53-59 (generic claim) in the instant application are not supported by provisional application 60/493014 and 60/479931. However these claims are supported by Provisional Application No. 60/496,548 filed on August 19, 2003.

Art Unit: 1656

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on November 8, 2004 and November 19, 2007 for which a copy of the patent publication has been submitted in this application has been considered as shown by the Examiners signature next to each reference.

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration submitted on July 26, 2004 has been reviewed and is in compliance with 37 CFR 1.56.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code in paragraphs [0185] and [0295]. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01. See M.P.E.P. § 707.05(e) for the acceptable notation of an Internet address.

Furthermore the specification is objected to for an unclear incorporation by reference. In paragraphs [0178] and [0182], reference to a related case by attorney docket number is unclear. The Examiner suggests use of either the Patent Application Publication number of the other application (preferable) or the application number for clarity. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1656

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 53-56, 58 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz et al US 6,927,042 (priority claimed Jan. 16, 2003 in IDS) in view of Wang et al (Bioconjugation by Copper(I)-Catalyzed Azide-Alkyne [3+2] Cycloaddition in IDS) further in view of Chin et al (Addition of *p*-azido-L-phenylalanine to the genetic code of *Escherichia coli*, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, vol. 124, 9026-9027, published on the Web on 07/11/2002 in IDS).

Schultz et al teach an in-vivo method comprising using orthogonal tRNA synthetase/orthogonal tRNA pairs (ORS/OtRNA pairs) to incorporate an unnatural amino acid comprising a 1st reactive group (electrophilic or a nucleophilic) into a protein in a cell followed by reacting said cell comprising the modified protein with a compound comprising a 2nd reactive group (nucleophilic or electrophilic) such as a saccharide (carbohydrate) moiety.

Furthermore Schultz et al teach that the unnatural amino acid comprising a 1st reactive group can be a keto or an aldehyde group and the compound

Art Unit: 1656

comprising a 2nd reactive group can have any of a variety of nucleophilic azides such as hydrazide, semicarbezide or hydroxylamines or alkyls having 1-6 carbons (see claim 4, 5). Schultz et al. do not specifically teach that the 2nd reactive group is attached to the 1st reactive group through a [3+2] cycloaddition reaction.

Wang et al teach that azides and alkynes can be easily introduced into biological molecules by organic synthesis, chemical conjugation or via biosynthetic pathways. such as a process that uses ORS/OtRNA pairs as described by Chin et al. (see Wang et al., page 3192, 1st paragraph column 1).

Wang et al teach attaching azides or alkynes to the exterior surface of the coat protein of cow mosaic virus (CPMV) at reactive lysine or cysteine residues wherein viruses thus modified are reacted with fluorescein derivatives (dyes) (which contains a complementary alkyne or azide group) thus resulting in coupling of the azide (or alkyne) group with the alkyne (or azide) group of a fluorescien due through a [3+2] cycloaddition reaction.

Although Wang et al clearly indicate that a biosynthetic pathway using ORS/OtRNA can be used their method was performed in-vitro. However Wang et al suggest that azides and alkynes can be introduced into proteins via biosynthetic pathways and refer to a process that uses ORS/OtRNA pairs described in Chin et al. (see Wang et al., page 3192, 1st paragraph column 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Schultz et al's in vivo cell based method with the method of Wang

Art Unit: 1656

et al to attach an unnatural amino acid comprising either an alkyne or azido moiety to a fluorescein conjugate to a protein.

One of skill in the art would have reasonable expectation of success because the state of the art at the filing of the instant application was such that methods of using ORS/OtRNA pairs for incorporating unnatural amino acids were well known. Furthermore attaching novel functional groups such as fluorescent compounds were known in the art. For example Cornish et al. (Site-specific Protein Modification Using Ketone handles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. Vol. 118, No 34, 1996) teach attaching a commercially available fluorescein hydrazide to an unnatural keto amino acid in a T4 lysozyme protein.

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine the above teachings in view of producing proteins comprising novel functionalities, to produce glycoproteins or to attach compounds such as polyethylene glycol that stabilize and/or to moderate antigenicity of therapeutic proteins in the circulation.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Art Unit: 1656

Claims 52-59 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 52-59 of copending Application No. 10/561,121. (PG US2006/0246509 A1). This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim 52 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 123 of copending Application No. 10/825,867 (PG US/2005/0009049 A1).

This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion:

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KAGNEW H. GEBREYESUS whose telephonen number is (571)272-2937. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,919 Page 8

Art Unit: 1656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kagnew H Gebreyesus PhD/ Examiner, Art Unit 1656 3/7/2008

/Robert B Mondesi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652